







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,466	66 11/20/2001		Nobuyuki Iwasaki	SAE-026	8723 .
20374	7590	11/03/2003		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK				HESS, BRUCE H	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				1774	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Application No. Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	er Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Pridfr Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In refrom the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the</li> </ul>	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-5-03	Amendment
TX. This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1	
Disposition of Claims	
M Claim(s) 1-3 5 6 and 16-18	s is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Oscim(s) 1-3 5 6 and 16-18	is/ara rajected
	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by to ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by to ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  □ approved □ disapproved.  the Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by to □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  be Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by to □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority □ received.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  he Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  he Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  be Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  be Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  PTO-948.  approved disapproved.  he Examiner.  S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_



Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 16-18 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Heneghan et al. for the reasons of record

Applicant's response stresses the significance of the amount of pigment employed in the representative and comparative examples. The aforementioned pigment amounts, however, are a) not clearly stated in Table 1 and b) not self-evident. Consequently, it is suggested that applicants submit another response in which the pigment types and amounts employed in all of the examples are clearly set forth as an aid in the determination of the validity of applicants argument. This additional information would be considered by the examiner.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at

telephone number (703) 308-2402.